

letrec

Here is a question you have seen before:

What does this evaluate to?

```
(let ([f (lambda (x) (+ x 1))])  
      (let ([f (lambda (y) (if (= y 0) 10 (* 2 (f 0))))])  
        (f 3)))
```

- A. 2
- B. 4
- C. 10
- D. 20

Answer A: 2

```
(let ([f (lambda (x) (+ x 1))])  
      (let ([f (lambda (y) (if (= y 0) 10 (* 2 (f 0))))])  
        (f 3)))
```

The outer let makes an environment that binds f to "add 1"

In the inner let the lambda y expression is evaluated to a closure whose closure environment has f bound to "add 1"

When we call (f 3) we evaluate the body of this closure in the closure environment extended with a binding of y to 3. When we look up f in this environment we get "add 1". So (\* 2 (f 0)) evaluates to 2.

Why doesn't this work?

```
(let ([f (lambda (n) (if (= n 0) 1 (*n (f (- n 1)))))])  
  (f 5))
```

So what can we do to implement recursion??

We will have the parser parse a letrec expression such as  
`(letrec ([f exp1] [g exp2]) body)`

into something equivalent that only involves things we have already implemented. We won't need to change eval-exp at all.

This will look stupid, but be patient.

What does this evaluate to?

```
(let ([f 0])  
  (let ([g 34])  
    (begin  
      (set! f g)  
      f)))
```

What does this evaluate to?

```
(let ([f 0])  
  (let ([g (lambda (x) (+ 1 x))])  
    (begin  
      (set! f g)  
      (f 5))))
```

What does this evaluate to?

```
(let ([f 0])  
      (let ([g (lambda (x) (if (< 9 x) 10 (f (+ 1 x))))])  
        (begin  
          (set! f g)  
          (f 5))))))
```

OK; so how do we write factorial with lets instead of letrec?



Answer:

```
(let ([fact 0])  
  (let ([g (lambda (n) (if (= n 0) 1 (* n (fact (- n 1)))))])  
    (begin  
      (set! fact g)  
      (fact 5))))
```

Here are some mutually recursive functions:

```
(letrec ([even? (lambda (x)
              (cond
                [(= 0 x) #t]
                [(= 1 x) #f]
                [else (odd? (- x 1))]))])
  [odd? (lambda (x)
            (cond
              [(= 0 x) #f]
              [(= 1 x) #t]
              [else (even? (- x 1))]))])
  (odd? 23))
```

How would you write this without letrec?

```
(let ([even? 0] [odd? 0])
  (let ([g1 (lambda (x)
             (cond
              [(= 0 x) #t]
              [(= 1 x) #f]
              [else (odd? (- x 1))]))])
    [g2 (lambda (x)
          (cond
           [(= 0 x) #f]
           [(= 1 x) #t]
           [else (even? (- x 1))]))])
    (begin
      (set! even? g1)
      (set! odd? g2)
      (odd? 23))))
```

In general we want to replace

```
(letrec ([f1 exp1] [f2 exp2] ... [fn expn])  
  body)
```

with

```
(let ([f1 0] [f2 0] ... [fn 0])  
  (let ([g1 exp1] [g2 exp2] ... [gn expn])  
    (begin  
      (set! f1 g1)  
      (set! f2 g2)  
      ...  
      (set! fn gn)  
      body)))
```

How do we do that?

First, we need the g's to variables that don't appear anywhere else.

gensym is a Scheme function of no arguments that generates a new, unused symbol:

(gensym) might return a value such as 'g8035

Now, what are the pieces we have in an expression such as

```
input = (letrec ([f1 exp1] [f2 exp2] ... [fn expn])
          body)
```

We have

```
syms = (f1 ... fn) = (map car (cadr input))
```

```
exps = (exp1... expn) = (map cadr (cadr input))
```

```
body = (caddr input)
```

How do we build

$(\text{let } ([f_1\ 0] [f_2\ 0] \dots [f_n\ 0])$

To build a let-exp for this we need  $(f_1 \dots f_n)$  We have that: syms

We need that many parsed 0s:

$(\text{map parse } (\text{map } (\text{lambda } (x) 0) \text{ syms}))$  Isn't that clever???

We need the parsed body of this let expression. Its body is another let expression, which parses into another let-exp



The inner let is

```
(let ([g1 exp1] [g2 exp2] ... [gn expn])
```

To build a let-exp for this we need

```
new-syms = (g1 ... gn) == (map (lambda (x) (gensym)) syms)  
parsed-exps = (map parse exps)
```

And the body of this is the begin expression

That begin expression is

```
(begin
  (set! f1 g1)
  (set! f2 g2)
  ...
  (set! fn gn)
  body)))
```

You can generate the set!s with

```
(map (lambda (x y) ...) syms new-syms)
```

and then append that onto (list (parse body))

And then you are done and everything works!

You deserve to celebrate!!!